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REVIEW OF THE DIPLOMA THESIS – SUPERVISOR

Student’s name and surname:

Thesis title:

Thesis supervisor:

 1 2 3 4

**Assessment of the main aspects of the thesis:**

1 Structure of the thesis (logical and systematic construction) [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

2 Goal of the thesis (unambiguity of formulation, adequacy) [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

3 Quality of the theoretical part [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

4 Quality of the application/analytical/empirical part [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

5 Adequacy of the methods to the goal of the thesis [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

6 Sources (topicality, relevance, frequency) [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

7 Quality of conclusions, fulfilment of the goal of the thesis [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

8 Formal aspects (formatting, stylistics, references) [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

**Verbal assessment** *((describe the strongest and weakest aspects of the thesis; especially in case of a low assessment 3-4, concrete reproaches need to be expressed)***:**

**Assessment of the originality of the thesis according to the output of the VŠE Validator** *(indicate the answer you entered in the VŠE Validator - "OK" or "With reservations". In the case of the second option, please indicate here your specific reservations about the originality of the work)*:

**Complex assessment** *(it is necessary to state whether the thesis complies with the Methodological guidelines of the Faculty of Economics, University of Economics, Prague as concerns the quality of the content, the extent and formal requirements and whether the thesis is being recommended to the defence or not; the thesis can also be nominated to a special award, etc.)***:**

**Questions to the defence:**

**Suggested grade:**

Date: ...........................................................

 Thesis supervisor’s signature

*Instructions to the reviewer: The reviewer needs to fill in the verbal assessment which is adequately informative with respect to the purpose of the defence.*

*Assessment scale: 1 = excellent, 2 = very good, 3 = good, 4 = failed.*